



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING	DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/719,343	11/20/2003		Takayasu Saito	JCLA12124	3316
23900	590	02/24/2005		EXAMINER	
J C PATENT	-		WHITE, DWAYNE J		
4 VENTURE, SUITE 250 IRVINE, CA 92618				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,				3745	

DATE MAILED: 02/24/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/719,343	SAITO ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Dwayne J White	3745					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address					
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13							
after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	rs will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 No	ovember 2003.						
	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2</u> is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• • • •						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).					
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
<u> </u>	1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicati	on No					
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau	ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.					
•							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ☐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da						
2)		raten Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) 🔲 Other:						

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

On page 7, line 19, "engaging portion 12A" should be --engaging portion 2A--.

On page 7, line 26, "engaging portion 12A" should be --engaging portion 2A--.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: On line 4, "thicken" should be --thickened--. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Specifically, claim 3 recites the limitation of a "Young modulus equal to or larger than 6000." Neither claim 3 nor the Specification recite what unit of measure is being used and thus it cannot be established the metes and bounds of the number 6000 in regards to the Young's modulus. Determination of the unit of measure in the Specification and claim is required.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the

Application/Control Number: 10/719,343

Art Unit: 3745

invention. Specifically, the term "pitch" used in the claim on line 4 is unclear. The definition the Examiner believes Applicant is using is the distance between to corresponding points on adjacent gear teeth. However, the claim language of claim 1 does not establish a shape for the first aluminum member that would support a "predetermined pitch." At least the first aluminum member must be further defined to provide antecedent basis for a "predetermined pitch".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Makino et al. (6,517,328) in view of Ueno et al. (6,440,583). Makino et al. discloses a compressor, for receiving at least one compression element in a seal container, the container 1 comprising a container body and a cover member both being made of aluminum (column3, lines 45-53). Makino et al. does not disclose the cover member being electrically welded to the container body, the Young's modulus of the aluminum material being equal to or greater than 6000, or the silicon content of the aluminum.

Ueno et al. teach an aluminum alloy having a silicon content of 1.5% to 5% to increase the alloy material's mechanical strength and that the aluminum allow can be arc welded to another aluminum component. Since both Makino et al. and Ueno et al. both teach aluminum members and it is well known that welding can be used to join two metal components together, it Application/Control Number: 10/719,343

Art Unit: 3745

D COILLOI 14uilloci. 10//12,5-

would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to modify the aluminum housing components of Makino et al., with the teaches of Ueno et al., by using an aluminum alloy having a silicon content within the range of 0.1% to 12% and electrically welding the components for the purpose of bonding the cover member to the container body.

Further, since no unit of measure is established and it is known that generally the Young's modulus of Aluminum alloys is around 10.4Mpsi (71.7Gpa), both values of which is greater that 6000, it appears that the aluminum alloy of Ueno et al. has a Young's modulus greater than 6000. (See Appendix C, page 994; *Machine Design, An Integrated Approach*; Robert L Norton; 1998).

CONCLUSION

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 2 is allowed.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dwayne J White whose telephone number is (571) 272-4825. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 am to 5 pm T-F and alternate Mondays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Look can be reached on (571) 272-4820. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3745

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Dwayne J. White Patent Examiner Art Unit 3745

DJW

EDWARD K. LOOK
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

2/22/05